VTLEG 2490: 8.v8

## Consensus FY 2012 Budget Gap Analysis - August 20, 2010

Joint Fiscal Office and Finance & Management

Revenue July 2010 Revenue Projection Direct Apps Estimate Total Available Revenue	in millions Fiscal Year 2012 1,174.0 10.0 1,184.0	_	
Appropriations Estimate FY11 Base GF Approps	1,088.4		
Add back base ARRA funds	157.0 1,245.4	No ARRA funds are projected for FY 2012	
Baseline growth assumption	43.6 1,288.9	General budget pressures -caseload, utiliza	ttion, energy etc
Adjustments > base %			
Other Growth	10.0	AHS additional pressure based on prelimina	ary assessment of caseloads
EF transfer restore	18.0	Makes up FY10 base reduction per legislati	ive intent
VSERS - cost growth	2.0	Estimated \$5m gross cost pressure growth	- actual numbers available in October
VSTRS - Retiree HC cost	3.0	Change in funding for retiree health care du	
VSTRS - OPEB	1.3	Reserve Part D payment (like VSERS) for 0	OPEB not to fund current ARC - Begins to address OPEB issue
Tobacco settlement	6.0	Estimate of amount needed to maintain trus	st fund balance at FY11 level which is ~\$17m
Unemployment Fund	6.0	First interest payment on UI loans - this ma	•
26 year old HC coverage	1.0	•	of GF need to cover 26 yr olds in state employee HC system
TF to public safety	2.3	GF needed to meet statutory requirement of	f reducing public safety Transportation funds
	49.6		
Adjustments < base %			
Challenges for Change	(33.1)	Growth in savings from \$38m in FY11 to \$7	
EFMAP	<u>(15.0)</u> (48.1)	FY11 carryforward in Medicaid GCF for FY	12 (this is from the \$13.5m allocation of EFMAP)
Total Appropriations	1,290.4		
Transfer Estimates			Notes
Next Generation	4.79	Level funded to FY11	Property transfer tax runs at formula in FY12
Vermont Telecomm Authority	0.75	Base need for FY12	Higher Eduction funding at FY11 base amount only
Total Uses	5.54 1,296.0		Special Education Medicaid balance back to EF Weatherization as dedicated
Budget (Gap) / Surplus	(112.0)	<del>-</del> )	Assumes only federal LIHEAP \$ only Assumes no surplus/(deficit) from FY11

## ermont l egislative Joint Fiscal Office

1 Baldwin Street • Montpelier, VT 05633-5701 • (802) 828-2295 • Fax: (802) 828-248:

## ISSUE BRIEF

August 18. 2010 Prepared by Stephanie Barrett

## FY11 and FY12 Budget Gap Analysis - Comparison to Other States

closed by a variety of actions during the FY11 session, a summary of which can be found at <a href="http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20FY09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fy09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fy09-http://www.leg.state.vt.us/jfo/Appropriations/General%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Gaps%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%20Budget%20Fund%20Budget%2 General Fund. Available ARRA funding reduced this gap to \$154m or 13.5%. This gap was In January 2010 the budget gap for FY11 was projected at \$267m or approximately 23% of the

which the amount Congress passed is below the amount states expected when they passed their percent or more of their general fund budgets. The largest gaps were reported by Nevada (45 during the enactment of their FY 2011 budgets. Twenty-four states reported FY 2011 gaps at 10 FMAP; the extent to which additional gaps open up in FY11 will be a function of the degree to Carolina (25 percent). At least 25 states made assumptions about the extension of the enhanced percent), New Jersey (28 percent), Arizona (27.2 percent), Maine (26 percent), and North NCSL has recently released a survey of the states that shows 41 states reported a budget gap

negotiated reductions as well as redirection of one-time sources from other funds. It becomes more and more difficult to solve the remaining gap. taken to close the gaps of the past have included both "low hanging fruit" and very difficult and as a percentage this is an improvement from what has been faced in the recent past, the actions The current FY12 gap projection for Vermont is in the range of \$112m or just under 9%. While

estimates. Among states that reported gaps, more than one-half of the reporting states have bigger gaps than Vermont. The FY12 gaps reported for the New England states are listed below. providing estimates. The cumulative tally for FY 2012 gaps is \$72.1 billion. Of the 33 states budgets. A number of non-reporting states will have gaps; however, they have yet to make which reported, 18 states expect to address gaps in excess of 10 percent of their general fund gaps they foresee for FY12. Thirty-three states forecast gaps in FY 2012, with 30 of them A copy of this survey can be found under the budget and revenue tab at www.ncsl.org Vermont is not alone in this regard. The same NCSL survey asked the states to project the budget

FY12 Bu	dget Gal	FY12 Budget Gaps Projected	
Connecticut	17.6%	17.6% New York	21.4%
Maine*	?	? Rhode Island 10.3%	10.3%
Massachusetts**	4%	4% Vermont	9%
New Hampshire*	?		

<sup>\*</sup>Forecast not released yet \*\*FY11 Budget not closed yet